

GS – About 22 issues that I am working through with developers for fixes. Much of it is low lying fruit, some not, but will all need to be completed by code freeze in April. I believe there were approx. 80 issues total from testing, and 22 issues were sit 209, do you have anything to add Julie? JP- It was encouraging to see Alturas cad to get on board, and they seem pretty pleased with everything. Some more programs will be coming on board. There was an IROC demo. 209 had issues with complex widget, which I thought we had fixed last year, but maybe we just didn't have any complexes. The merge widget was not working either. I had a headache by the end. GS – I just heard from developer that both widgets should be a quick fix. JP – Also bringing all hazard incidents on line, because our incident types were not aligned. Our codes were not following NWCG standards, and we were caught off guard. Should be easy enough to cross reference with data standards. GS – I'll be sending an invite out for a change board meeting. JS – Good we have not met in a while, and a lot of us are still getting caught up from furlough.

Next on the agenda is PS oversight group update, Gabriella (our rep) gave me some notes and I sent everyone the FMB briefing, just a few notes per Gabriella. Not had a call since last Intel call, about a month. They had an idea for putting a survey out, but nothing has come from that. The PSOG has revisited their charter, and the feedback from PSOG members and stakeholders. PSOG will have face to face meeting in april, mets are working on alternative. When draft is complete they will send out to us for review and consensus. One thing that struck me as I read the briefing, was that it says phase 2 is not being reopened or in any way revisited. Does that apply to the mets alternative? To me it seems like it does, to me I thought that was interesting. GS – I also got a mixed message on that James. JS – Anyone have any questions or concerns? It sounds like they are moving forward. JP – Are any of the other GACCS writing rebuttals or voicing their concerns? I know our coordinating group is working on putting ours together. JS - Ill not that, but we (EACC) has not. JP – sounds like no one else.

INTS Position status – JS – We have been trying to get NWCG certification for the INTS position. Sean was working on it and pushing through, but then he got his new job at NICC. I assume he is working on different stuff. Correct George? GS- I actually spoke with him immediately before this call and SP indicated that if we want his help he gave me his availability. JS – OK on Gabriella's recommendation I did contact training liaison Nikole Oke. Nicole couldn't be on the call, but she did give some recommendations. It seems like a fairly complex process. Her feedback was as follows: all agencies need to support position at all levels. Intel group needs to agree on position and rationale for the position and brief out NCSC, and OTC and gain support from those orgs and all involved agencies. Next we would write up proposal for NCSC, then Intel or NCSC will take to OTC to ask for approval. (We basically already knew all of this) Already did this leg work.