

National Interagency Aviation Committee
Monthly Meeting Agenda
 December 9, 2021
 via Teams Meetings
 1300 Mountain Time



<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Welcome, December Minutes Review, and Roll Call	John Buehler
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> John Buehler, Chair, NPS	<input type="checkbox"/> Anthony Lascano, Primary Member, FWS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Keith Raley, Advisor, (John Mills Acting) OAS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jason Baldwin, Primary Member, USFS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neal Laugle, Primary Member, NASF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tim Blake, Coordinator, NWCG
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Glen Claypool, Primary Member, BLM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matthew Woodwick, Primary Member, NASF	Guests: George Violante Jesse Bender
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walker Craig, Primary Member, OAS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lori Clark, Advisor, USFS	
<input type="checkbox"/> Joel Kerley, Primary Member, BIA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brad Gibbs, Advisor, BLM	
	Agenda Items	Outcome
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion <input type="checkbox"/> Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Training	<p>Topic: November Minutes</p> <p>Intended Outcome: Approve November Minutes for posting to NWCG Website</p> <p>Associated Documents: November NIAC Minutes</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion <input type="checkbox"/> Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Training	<p>Topic: Wikieup, AZ AMRB Tasking No. 21-02: NIAC Recommendations</p> <p>Intended Outcome: (update John Buehler)</p> <p>2 recommendations – NIAC investigate OAS does MRB Recommendation 4,5,6, and 7 (to the accident)</p> <p>Tactical fire, DOI task to investigate not a NIAC function</p> <p>Jason – wants to know why this happens? Do we have to do anything? USFS wants a seat at the table needs to be vetted.</p> <p>Investigation – FS Jon Nelson. DOI/OAS anyone outside are recommendations Aerial Supervision Operations Internal recommendations not NTSB recommendations. Not all recommendations are contributed to the accident. What do we do now? We have 2 for NIAC #4 and #5 recommend further analysis needs to be done before going to NIAC.</p> <p>NIAC needs to look at recs, to be looked at in a different community for discussion. USFS seat at the table.</p> <p>#4 Newer technologies (Aerial Supervision Committee) agency specific.</p> <p>BIA – Zack Holder, Walter – sensory capable air crafts explorations are taking place. Joel has been working on it. Sensory attachments or equipment being vetted.</p> <p>Recommendation – asses what’s available, do research.</p> <p>Aerial Supervision Committee – means are being met by means vett and get back to technology.</p>	<p>Group voted on Option 3 – OAS gets clarification on AMRB and brings it back to NIAC in January.</p>

	<p>#4 exploring reintroduce tabular format of what makes a type 1 or 2 etc.</p> <p>FDA Management – Aerial Supervision subcommittee get back to us they report back we answered 1 of the 3. Fire detection not related but you need to keep an eye out to be preventive. Can we replace 2 people with technology? Developing a mission profile. Designed to prevent future occurrence.</p> <p>Tactical Fire Recognizant</p> <p>Flight tracking – Blue Force tracking siftac ATAC DRTI uses it regularly. Open source market. Teams embraced it. It works. BIA uses it.</p> <p>Associated Document(s):</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Training	<p>Topic: Subcommittee Briefings</p> <p>Intended Outcome: Guests for March meeting (possible)</p> <p>Associated Document(s):</p>	<p>Team has decided that Subcommittee meeting will be in April not March.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> Information <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Training	<p>Topic: PMS 504 NWCG Aviation Technical Assistance Directory</p> <p>Intended Outcome: Update and Keep or Remove?</p> <p>Does it serve a purpose? Do we need it? Outdated and wrong.</p> <p>Associated Documents(s):</p>	<p>YES it's very much needed. Both paper and online if possible. NIAC own this. Annual review. Everyone needs to reach out to Aviation community to get updates. Once we receive all the updates, we will make sure it has State, Region, Location and City on the revised document.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> Information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion <input type="checkbox"/> Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Training	<p>Topic: Emergency Helicopter Extraction Source list, PMS 512</p> <p>Intended Outcome: update (John Buehler and Jesse Bender)</p> <p>Meeting next week NCSC transfer ownership, the list to them. Right into the overview that NIAC has overview of it. So, its not modified without NIAC knowledge. All changes will go to NCSC. Annual or semi-annual review. Concerns for Bear is off. Anything qualified type 2 or 3. Do we go as far as Co Op letters for other folks? Cooperator letter or evaluate them to make sure they are safe for our folks to go to. All government no private entity. Improve the disclaimer on the page. Plus update the language. Come in with partial approval with NIAC expand on the backgrounds page. Qualifications is a big deal. Disclaimer be careful on describing policy. Use words like vetted not recommended, not approved and for considerations should be used. Next Wednesday starting point NCSC. NIAC wants to be aware of anything new.</p>	

	Associated Documents(s):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion <input type="checkbox"/> Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Training	Topic: Intended Outcome: Associated Document(s):	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Action Item and Tasking Log Update- Cheryl Giffen	

Round Robin Notes: