

Incident Planning Subcommittee Notes

1/10/23 Notes

Incident Planning Subcommittee Agenda 1/10/2023

0900-1000 PST

Members Present:

Dylan Rader (Chair)
Robin Jermyn (Vice Chair)
Dana Carter (USFS)
Karly DeMars (BLM)
Ryan Stone (USFS)
Jeff Adams (USFWS) New
Sarah Lee (NWCG Coordinator)

Other Attendees: Daniel Michael

Membership Updates: Cody Wienk Resigned, add Jeff Adams / Tamara Stadel (Dec Call)

Update on Current Taskings / Projects

- ICS 211 WF Standard Check-in Form Update – Billy
 - SME Group meeting regularly
- EDOC / PMS277 / Record Retention Schedule Updates – Dylan
- ICS 221 WF Demob Form Update – Robin
- CIM Updates or Taskings for IPS – Sara
 - Sarah's Email

I wanted to give chairs of the ILSC, IOSC, and IPS subcommittees and their IPSC Liaisons a heads up on the finalized CIM PTBs for the LSCC, OSCC and PSCC positions.

Due to needing to convert the position stewards' work that they did in the 2009 PTB versions to the 2020 template, it did cause many tasks to be shifted in the document to fit the changed Competencies and Behaviors outline. However, all the tasks/edits/changes they submitted for the CIM PTB are there as submitted, but they just may not be in the same order in the new PTB.

In the case of the FSCC, LSCC, OSCC, PSCC and SOFC (all but PIOC and ICCI) there were no tasks that fit in the first three Behaviors, which were new to the 2020 template. We added a task for each Behavior (consistently across the C&G positions) that resulted in brand new tasks 1-3. These tasks were taken from the ICCI's first three tasks and adapted to be applicable to the C&G position. Unfortunately, because we (Marlene and I) did not discover that issue until this week and with the deadline for the IPSC CIM tasking and January 310-1 issuance, we had to submit them to Publications today and didn't have time to contact the stewards. As you'll see they are pretty basic and common to all the C&G positions, so I don't think there will be issue.

IPD Update: N/A

Sub Committee Related (TOPICS CARRIED OVER FROM OCTOBER)

- Addition of TNSP, FBAN, LTAN, SOPL to Shared Inbox and Approved Positional Account Requests
- Position Qualification Evaluation for SITL and DOCL: Task Team is recommending the Incident Planning Subcommittee evaluate both SITL and DOCL to ensure the position qualification are accurate.

- For SITL, the task team felt that with the complexities and changes in the position responsibilities, there is strong rationale for reviewing the required training and experience. ICT4 was removed as a prerequisite for this position in 2015 and the S-346 course was moved from required to other training which supports knowledge and skills, in an effort to increase capacity.
- Discussion on appropriate level of operational qualification(s) needed to be proficient as SITL. Majority of group felt it was worth or taking a look at current IPD and recommending edits with further analysis. Dana Carter will work as POC for the group.

Current Workload

- Check In and Demobilization Tasking
- Annual Review / Updates to PMS277 eDOC, include other NWCG Committees for comment
- DOI Records Retention Schedule – Weekly meetings with DOI – Reference MDI in DOI Records Retention Schedule.

Round Robin Updates:

- Request for Change Submitted to IPSC

3

Incident and Position Standards Committee Request For Change

WOULD YOU LIKE A RESPONSE	Yes
CONTACT INFORMATION	Matt Reed, Wildfire Operations Chief, PA Bureau of Forestry mattreed@pa.gov 7177720273
REQUESTING AGENCY, NWCG COMMITTEE, OR GROUP	National Association of State Foresters
HOME UNIT	PA-PAS
CHANGE INFORMATION	
<p>I'd like to propose a change in the prerequisites for the Demob Unit Leader position. After talking to several people about it, I believe the prerequisite position for DMOB should be dropped from RESL to SCKN. There are several reasons for this, primarily because with the technology we're using today, RESL(t)'s and quite honestly both qualified and trainee SCKN's are being expected to do the job. Many teams are only using RESL's as both. The PTB is shared for RESL and DMOB, and you should be able to open both at the same time, just like many other positions.</p> <p>FYI, I selected NASF above, because although it says optional, the field is required and that was the closest option I had. This recommendation has not gone through NASF. I sent the proposal to the EACG Training Working Team; they felt it was reasonable and should be discussed and sent it back for me to submit.</p>	
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS? Documents will be emailed to the NWCG webmaster.	No

Taskings or Topics for Next Meeting:

- Decision Point for DOCL and SITL review

Open Forum: None