



# Incident Logistics Subcommittee

## Meeting Notes: April 6, 2022 (1300-1400 MT)

**Attending:** Chris Alford (NPS), Kate Morris (FS- Admin), Patrick Merrill (BLM), Keith Plagemann (Local Govt), John Wall (NASF), Michael Burkholder (NASF), Heath Cota (IPSC Liaison), LeRoy Evans (BLM), George Harris (NASF), Sue Zahn (FS)

**Absent:** Dan Froelich (IAFC), Deb Fleming (NWCG Coordinator), Cameron Crisp (FS), Eric Martinez (FS)

	Topic	Action Item #	Presenter	Notes	Action/Decision
1	<b>Agenda Item #1 Complex Incident Management</b>		Chris	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Type 1 qualified individuals will be grandfathered into Complex Incident Management (CIM).</li><li>• Work is being done on the steps for Type 2 qualified individuals to become Complex.</li><li>• There is a concern that LSC2's with no desire to certify up to CIM will quit or go down to a Type 3 or Unit Leader position.</li><li>• Most work is being done to bring LSC2 to CIM. The team tasked with this have come up with three options that will be presented to the Executive Board later this month.</li><li>• Our parent committee (IPSC) is struggling with the definition of Complex.</li><li>• Chris reviewed the three options</li></ul>	
2	<b>Agenda Item #1 LSC1/LSC2 transition to CIM</b>		Chris	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The ILSC has been tasked to create a PTB, IPD, and qualification sheet for Complex LSC.</li><li>• Keith endorses using the LSC1/LSC2 PTB and then completing S-420/S-520 to be CIM qualified</li><li>• Patrick supports Option 2. Feels in Option 3 the extra certifier is the person signing off the PTB and is a redundant step.</li><li>• Chris – what we need to decide on as a subcommittee is if the current LSC1/2 PTB is acceptable for Complex LSC. Need to set up the LSC3's for success to CIM.</li><li>• NOTE – a new potential task in the PTB is “to participate in strategic planning.” Do we keep it in training and add it to the PTB?</li><li>• Patrick – item 27 in the PTB includes Strategic Planning BUT it is a little gray.</li><li>• We may need to add tasks to the LSC1/LSC2 PTB to capture what is not covered in the classes</li><li>• John – is there talk of combining S-420/S-520? Yes, a little bit.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Due 4/22/22</li></ul>

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chris – S-420/S-520 are just different enough to keep both classes as a requirement.</li> <li>• Keith – what if a letter is drafted with regards to the field evaluation with direction from the IPSC before re-writing the PTB's. The letter from the GACC to the Executive Board then filter on down?</li> <li>• Chris – tasks have been set by the Executive Board - it is not our job as a subcommittee to decide the evaluation process. Our job is the PTB and IPD, and qualification sheet and make any changes and then send the recommendations up the ladder.</li> <li>• Chris – Schedule a meeting within the next two weeks for a task team to go over the LSC1/LSC2 to Complex LSC might look like</li> <li>• Patrick – Question – are there going to be common tasks across all the Section Chiefs PTB's? Chris – Answer – the Position Stewards will probably make recommendations to the IPSC and proceed from there for the crosswalks.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kate to schedule a call – 4/12/22 @ 0900 PDT</li> </ul>
3	<b>Agenda Item #2 O-305</b>		Chris	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comments are due Monday for adding O-305 to the LSC3 qualifications as required training.</li> </ul>	
4	<b>COMT</b>		Chris	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support Basic 40 (S-130 / S-190 / L-180) as part of the training</li> <li>• The Task Team is looking into fireline deployment and we are waiting for more information.</li> </ul>	
5	<b>ORDM PTB addition</b>		Chris	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adding a task specifying knowledge of the current dispatch program (2022 is IROC).</li> <li>• If disapproving adding the task please give a reason.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Send to Chris and Kate approving or disproving by 4/11/22</li> </ul>
6	<b>General</b>		Chris	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chris to send out an email for a vote on the ORDM and Unit Leader requirement by next Monday</li> </ul>	
7	<b>General</b>		Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Online Question – We're requiring Drivers (DRIV) and EQPM's to go to the line without RT-130 or a light Work Capacity Test (WCT) contradicting the PTB.</li> <li>• Is someone willing to look at the PTB's and make recommendations for adding RT-130 and WCT or not.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sue and Kate to look at</li> </ul>

**Next Conference Call**

**Date:** 4/27/2022

**Time:** 1000 PDT