



Begin Time: 1300
End Time: 1600

FMC Members:	Present	Absent
Angie Simpson (BLM), Chair	X	
Mike Van Hemelryck (NPS), Vice-Chair	X	
Linda Chapel for Frankie Romero (USFS) via phone	X	
Sam Scranton (BIA)	X	
Tate Fischer (FWS)	X	
Andy James (NASF) (phone)	X	
Advisors:		
Kim Van Hemelryck (OWF) (phone)	X	
Deb Fleming (NWCG)	X	
Annie Benoit (BLM) liaison		X
Jessica Wilson (BIA)	X	
Guests:		
Marek Smith, The Nature Conservancy	X	
Kim Ernstrom, (NPS)	X	
Dave Moore, (BLM)	X	
Rick Gividen, (OWF)	X	
Krista Gollnick Wade (BLM)	X	
Tim Melchert (FS)	X	
Henry Bastain (OWF)	X	

DECISION LOG

Topic: Review 5/8/19 Notes and 310-1 Prescribed Fire Complexity Definition

Presenter: Angie Simpson

Discussion/Considerations: Review terminology of 310-1 Prescribed Fire Complexity section under Wildfire Complexity.

Include approval of the 4/17/2019 meeting notes.

Decision: The Committee agreed to keep the definition in the document and change the definition of the Prescribed Fire Complexity on 310-1 to “Agencies must develop prescribed fire complexity determination utilizing this guide.”

Approve meeting notes from 5/8/2019 with approval of the 4/17/2019 meeting notes.

Topic: Informing Wildfire Risk

Presenter: Rick Gividen, OWF

Discussion/Considerations: Rick presented the PowerPoint presentation and Idea Statement: The Department of the Interior will lead an effort, in collaboration with the U.S. Forest Service, National Association of State Foresters, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency, to work collaboratively with stakeholders to pilot a web-based geospatial viewer that provides joint visibility of wildfire risks, values and mitigation projects across all agencies and jurisdictions. The viewer will identify opportunities for collaborative efforts that mitigate risk to values and improve landscape resiliency. The viewer will provide stakeholders with the ability to inform planning, monitor progress and assess the impact of collaborative efforts.

Understood but not explicitly stated in the Idea:

“stakeholders” refers to all government – local, state, tribal, federal and where appropriate NGO’s;

“to pilot” includes creating criteria and metrics for success to evaluate the geospatial viewer functionality;

“risk” is defined differently by different stakeholders; it includes likely consequences of wildland fire;

"...will provide stakeholders with..." does NOT prescribe or mandate that any of the stakeholders or collaborators must use the viewer.

“Mitigation” is understood to be open ended for the stakeholder as to the values that they specify and how they are reducing risk to those values;

Kim Van Hemelryck stated that this is the beginning of a pilot and wanting to use existing product. This viewer will be able to help share and inform the planning processes in order to leverage resources and look at the scale of work in order to start making significant differences across our landscapes. This is not about ranking values, this is demonstrating where people are doing work. The goal is to not change individual bureau priorities, the goal is where the work is being performed.

Linda asked Kim if each agency will be able to define their values as needed and then use the viewer as a way to integrate different agencies overlapping or common values. Kim agreed with that statement.

Decision: The Committee asked that the individual Bureaus be represented on the Stakeholder Team. Also, a formal ask to Fire Directors for a representative is needed. Viewer should be able to look at outputs and compare them to each other. Adjust idea statement to say “web based geospatial viewer that collects risk assessments.”

Topic: TNC PERFECT Presentation

Presenter: Marek Smith

Discussion/Considerations: Marek shared highlights from the PERFECT agreement in a PowerPoint presentation.

Decision: Information only. Presentation and information will be emailed out.

Topic: IFTDSS Field UAG Document

Presenter: Henry Bastain

Discussion/Considerations: Henry discussed the UAG document from previous FMC meeting. Kim E. said that they did a user survey and received 60 responses regarding experience etc. She will send to the group for information.

Decision: The Committee agrees with the changes. Linda will meet with Frankie and discuss changes. Angie will follow up with Linda on June 21st and send email regarding representation.

Topic: Agency Feedback on FIRB Fitness level

Presenter: Angie

Discussion/Considerations: Waiting to hear back from the Forest Service on the state regarding survey. Tate stated that access was an issue.

Decision: Tate will send an email to Marley to reach out to the ICQS representatives. Comments before July 10. BLM, Forest Service, NASF, Fish and Wildlife, and BIA recommend arduous.

Topic: Smoke Guide / RXB IPD Tasks

Presenter: Annie

Discussion/Considerations: The Committee reviewed Tate's edits on RXB 1/2.

Decision: Scheduling meeting June 27th 9-11 am at the BLM Fuels conference room to finalize Firing Boss and RXB 1/2. Provide smoke comments back to Angie by June 21.

Topic: Round Robin

Presenter: All present committee members

ACTION ITEMS OR ASSIGNMENTS LOG

Name: Angie

Assignment: Follow up with Linda on IFTDSS UAG by June 21st. Send email regarding representation on IFTDSS UAG.

Name: Tate

Assignment: Send email to Marley to reach out to the ICQS representatives. Comments before July 10.

Name: Angie

Assignment: Set up meeting with Annie RXB1/2 for June 27th 9-11 am at BLM fuels. Smoke comments due June 21 to Angie.

DATE, TIME AND LOCATION OF NEXT MEETING

Date:

7/10/2019

Time: 1400-1600

Location: NPS Conference Room