

NWCG Data Standard Meta-data Definition

Status: Draft Proposal Approved

Date: December 17, 2018

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Name: | | |
| Data Attribute Name | <i>Position Code</i> | |
| Abbreviation | | |
| Contacts: | | |
| Data Stewardship Group (NWCG Working Team or Associated Group) | <i>Operations and Training Committee (OTC)</i> | |
| Data Steward or Source Reference | <i>OTC Primary Member, Garth Fisher</i> | |
| System of Record | <i>Position Naming Board (PNB)</i> | |
| Custodian | <i>Position Naming Board (PNB) Chair</i> | |
| Definition: | | |
| Description | <i>A code (mnemonic) for designating a position used in incident management response.</i> | |
| | Data Exchange Standards | Data Entry Guidelines |
| Length | <i>Maximum: 6 Minimum: 4</i> | <i>Maximum: 4 Minimum: 4</i> |
| Data Type | <i>AlphaNumeric</i> | <i>AlphaNumeric</i> |
| Case Sensitivity | <i>Upper-case</i> | <i>Upper-case</i> |
| Format | <i>XXXX or XXX9 or XX99</i> | <i>XXXX or XXX9 or XX99</i> |
| Example | <i>DIVS = Division/Group Supervisor</i> | <i>ICT2 = Incident Commander Type 2</i> |
| Business Rules: | | |
| <i>1. A unique Position Code is assigned for each position in the Incident Command System and for each Technical Specialist</i> | | |
| <i>2. Any specific Position Code can only be assigned to one distinct position.</i> | | |
| <i>3. A position can have only one Position Code.</i> | | |
| <i>4. A Position Code has a distinct associated Position Title.</i> | | |
| <i>5. If position is specific to a particular type/level/specialty, the appropriate numeric level is assigned as the last character of the Position Code. (e.g. ICT2)</i> | | |
| | | |
| | | |

For information regarding this document, contact: [Chair, Position Naming Board](#)

NWCG Data Standard Meta-data Definition

Status: Draft Proposal Approved

Date: December 17, 2018

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Name: | | |
| Data Attribute Name | <i>Position Title</i> | |
| Abbreviation | <i>Pos Title</i> | |
| Contacts: | | |
| Data Stewardship Group (NWCG Working Team or Associated Group) | <i>Operations and Training Committee (OTC)</i> | |
| Data Steward or Source Reference | <i>OTC Primary Member, Garth Fisher</i> | |
| System of Record | <i>Position Naming Board (PNB)</i> | |
| Custodian | <i>Position Naming Board (PNB) Chair</i> | |
| Definition: | | |
| Description | <i>A title for a position used for incident management response.</i> | |
| | Data Exchange Standards | Data Entry Guidelines |
| Length | <i>Maximum: 45 Minimum: 1</i> | <i>Maximum: 45 Minimum: 1</i> |
| Data Type | <i>AlphaNumeric</i> | <i>Character</i> |
| Case Sensitivity | <i>Mixed Case (first letter capitalized)</i> | <i>Mixed (first letter capitalized)</i> |
| Format | <i>X(30)</i> | <i>X(30)</i> |
| Example | <i>Division/Group Supervisor</i> | <i>Incident Commander Type 2</i> |
| Business Rules: | | |
| <i>1. A Position Title is required for each Position Code (mnemonic).</i> | | |
| <i>2. Position Title naming convention should adhere to this format: Job subject/position + Rank + Type/Specialty.</i> | | |
| | | |

For information regarding this document, contact: [Chair, Position Naming Board](#)

Explanation of the Meta-data Definition Format

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| Status <i>(To be filled out by the DAWG only)</i> | Draft - The meta-data definition has been defined, but has not been reviewed by the DAWG. Proposed – The meta-data definition has been reviewed by the DAWG and is in the review stage. Approved – The meta-data definition has been approved by the DAWG and published as an NWCG standard. | |
| Name: | | |
| Data Attribute Name | The business term in its unabbreviated form. | |
| Abbreviation | The short form of the attribute name using standard abbreviations. | |
| Contacts: | | |
| Data Stewardship Group | The organization(s) responsible for the accuracy of the attribute’s definition. | |
| Data Steward or Source Reference | The person(s) responsible for the attribute meta-data definition (name, contacts, definition, business rules) or the reference number of an adopted data standard from an external source. | |
| System of Record | The manual or automated system that serves as the authoritative source for accurate data values. | |
| Custodian | The person(s) responsible for the maintenance and quality of the actual data in the system of record. | |
| Definition: | | |
| Description | The textual definition of the attribute. | |
| | Data Exchange Standards | Data Entry Guidelines |
| Length | The maximum & minimum allowable lengths for the raw data. | The maximum & minimum allowable lengths for the data entry. |
| Data Type | The kind of data. Examples are: alphabetic, binary, numeric, alpha-numeric | The kind of data. Examples are: integer, decimal, currency, date, time, character, and Boolean. |
| Format | A specification of the way the raw data should be arranged. | The way the data should be displayed to the users. |
| Case Sensitivity | A specification of whether or not the data is to be upper, lower, or mixed case. | A specification of whether or not the data is to be upper, lower, or mixed case. |
| Example | Example(s) of valid raw data and descriptor, if appropriate. | Example(s) of valid data entry and descriptor, if appropriate. |
| Business Rules: | Business rules are a set of conditions that govern the data attribute so that it occurs in a way that is acceptable to the business (or customer). A business rule is a statement that defines or constrains some aspect of the data. There may be many business rules that pertain directly to the attribute, or to its relationship to other attributes. | |

Information about this NWCG Data Standard

Applicability

1. The Data Exchange Standards section represents the standard for representation of data files for data interchange.
2. This standard applies to all existing NWCG applications.
3. This standard applies to the acquisition of all applications software, whether commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) products, or custom-designed applications.
4. The Data Entry Guidelines are recommended but not mandatory and do not require a waiver.

Provision for Waiver - A waiver may be granted by the NWCG DAWG for:

- Legacy applications that are able to achieve compliance by means other than the use of this standard
- Systems where the costs of implementing this standard are significantly higher than the benefits warrant

The requesting office shall draft an application to the NWCG DAWG for a waiver providing the reasons why the data standard should not be implemented in the information collection. This application shall contain:

- a. An outline of the reasons why the data standard should not be implemented in the specific application.
- b. A risk assessment and cost-effectiveness evaluation of continued operation in a non-compliant mode.
- c. Approval of the waiver request by decision officials within the requesting office, if applicable.

The DAWG shall notify the requesting office in writing of the disposition of the waiver within 60 days of receipt.

Maintenance - This standard is one of several applicable to all NWCG applications; as such, it will be reviewed, and the NWCG DAWG will schedule updates at designated intervals. Reviews shall occur at time intervals not to exceed 5 years.